

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_6979
Title of the Manuscript:	R &D Expenditures and Import Competition: Recent Evidence for the U.S.
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors abstract need to address the recommendations he/she made based on the findings. Because abstract is expected to address some key issues in summary such as objectives, methodology, findings, recommendations.	(1) We address the recommendation based on our empirical study results and incorporate it in both the abstract and conclusion sections. In the Abstract, we state that "A policy implication which may be drawn from the study suggests that incentives to promote and encourage domestic manufacturing industries in the form of subsidy, or tax credits to contend with import competition may only be productive for high-tech industries, not so for the low-tech."
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		